G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901.

(Police)

File No. 5C

Serial Nos. 1–2

Papers Filed.

Month January 1902

SUBJECT.

Degradation of Maruwatta from 1st Class Wafedari to Lance Dafedari

D Bohagere Ram

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Commenced &c

SECTION Police
FILE NO. 50 of 06 02
SEP. NO.

Note.

One Ramappa a 1st Class Duffedar of the Chennapatna Taluk, left his station for Bangalore without the Inspector's permission at 2 A. M. on the 8th April 1904, and returned to Chennapatna at 11 A. M. the next day. Jamedar Ramasimhachar of the said Taluk who travelled with the Duffedar questioned him as to where he was. The latter replied that he went to Bangalore to see the retired Amildar Kantimavathar. The Jamedar on his arrival at Chennapatna informed the Police Inspector, that the said Duffedar also travelled with

with him. That officer thereupon called for an explanation from the Duffedar as to why he left Chennapatna without permission. The latter while submitting the explanation referred to, made certain allegations against the former officer, who submitting the same to Mr. Hayden, the Superintendent of Police, reported that the allegations made against him were all unfounded, that the Duffedar was quite impertinent and insubordinate, that he committed certain mischiefs in the town and that his conduct, above all, in a theft case was very

1. Did you not go to Bangalore to see your wife and return after 3 days?

2. Did you not on 7-3-01 detail constables Chennigappa + Gopaliah to help your friend in a Upanayana ceremony held in his house at Devanahosahalli, the former for the purpose of supplying plantain leaves, milk curd & the latter for the purpose of cooking rice, + permit the two constables to return on 13-3-01?

3. Did you not on the noon of the 9th idem permit Thimmaiah to convey to Bangalore a basket with some articles and to

return by the night-trains?

4. It is not fair for you to strike me on the stomach. I want to deprive me of my means of livelihood!

5th. After I am cured of my illness, I shall have to acquaint the Head of the Deptt with other local circumstances.

suspicions. Thereupon the Superintendent finding that Nannappa was impertinent and insubordinate, degraded him for one year from the 1st Class Duffedari to that of a Lance Duffedari. He further warned him that if he should allow such things to occur he would be summarily dismissed from the service. The Duffedar next presented a petition to the Dy-Commissioner, Bangalore Dt, praying that he might be restored to his first Class Duffedari, that he might be excused for his insubordination and that his absence for 9 hours might also

be treated as leave. That
officer enquiring into the
case further questioned the
Duffedar if he could sub-
-stantiate the allegations
made against the Police
Inspector. He kept to the same
~~replied that he was not able as they~~ as the events
transpired some two months
~~ago~~ previously when he was ill and
under treatment in Hospital.
Thereupon the by-Commr
rejected his petition &
confirmed the order of
the Supdt already
passed in the matter.

The petitioner finally
presented an appeal
petition to the I. G. P. of

Power who forwarded it on
to this office for disposal
stating that he could not
hear the appeal as he in
his capacity as Deputy
Commr, confirmed the
order passed by my Handin

The contents of the
above petition are
briefly as follow :-

(1) That he on 7-7-01
first applied for 3 days'
casual leave owing to
some urgent business;
That an entry to the des-
patch of the leave applica
tion ~~xxxxxxx~~ has been
made in the Despatch book
of the Station;

That before the leave applied for, was sanctioned, there came on 9-7-01, a person from Bangalore with the news that the petitioner's mother was seriously ill, that the petitioner forth with acquainted the Police Inspector with the circumstance, who allowed him to go by the night-train the same day and return by the morning train the next day;

(4) That the petitioner did accordingly;

5) That in spite of the

above order the Inspector called for an explanation from the petitioner as to why he went away to Bangalore without permission;

(6) That the petitioner in compliance with the above order submitted his explanation and that it was next submitted to the Superintendent of Police with a report by the Inspector to the effect that he gave no leave to the petitioner, as alleged by him;

(7) That that office reduced the petitioner for a period of one year from the grade of a 1st class Duffedar to that

for Lance Duffedaw;

5) That the petitioner has
put in a service of 17 or 18 years
holding good testimonials
from the officers under
whom he served;

7) That he has passed the
Local Gov: Criminal & Police
Training school Examina-
tions;

(10) That for the aforesaid
reasons, the petitioner
submits that his absence
for 9 hours be treated as
leave, that he be pardoned
for his allegations against
the Inspector, which he
made at a time when he
was ill & under treatment
in Hospital, and that he be
to his Lt. Col. Duffedaw.

The fact of the insubordinate and improper language used by the Sect. in writing towards his superior officer is admitted

The only question is whether his temporary reduction for a period of one year from 1st class Lance Daffedar to Lance Daffedar is an excessive punishment for the offence.

To Secy for orders. 30.8.01

There being no dispute about the facts constituting the offence the Inspector General may perhaps have disposed of the matter if he had considered it a fit case for revising

the punishment awarded. The degradation for a year means a fine of 48 Rs - which seems to be excessive. As soon 5 months has elapsed, the ~~so~~ ~~waiting period~~ man may be restored to his former position after 6 months. This will be submitted with a draft for approval of the Dewan -

4. 9. 1901

Submitted with draft of the Dewan -

5/9/1901

3102/

A Sirie man absenting without leave is guilty of a very serious violation of duty & must be dealt with & severely & such offence is punishable with dismissal. A decline would be

7. 1. 02

Draft accd 9.1.02

Draft submitted 9/1/02

SECTION Police

FILE NO. SO 0701-02

SERIAL NO. 1

1/68



From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

Dated 12th

Despatched 13 July 1901.

Received

General No. 257

No. 15

Enclosure No. one file

Submitting for the orders of Govt the record connected with the case of Nariappa reduced from 1st class Duffedari & Lance Duffedari of the Chennapatna Taluk

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 257/15 DATED 12th July 1901/13

Enc. one file

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government the records connected with the case of Narainappa reduced from 1st class Daffedari to Lance Daffedari for his insubordinate and impertinent conduct towards the Inspector of the Chenna patna Taluk. The Superintendent of Police reduced him from the 1st grade Daffedari to Lance Daffidari and the same was confirmed

by me as Deputy Commissioner. As I cannot hear the present appeal against my own order, I have submitted records connected with the case for the orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

Asstt. Inspector General of Police

Draft as per order

3

C... Police

LE No. SO 0/01-02

RIAL No. 2

Read:—

Letter No. 257/15 dated the 12th July 1901 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting an appeal petition together with the records connected with the degradation of one Narayappa, from the post of a 1st grade Duffedar to that of a Lance Duffedar, and stating that as he had, in his capacity of Deputy Commr., confirmed the order of degradation passed by Mr. Hawkin, for the insubordinate and improvident conduct of the petitioner towards the Police Inspector of the Chennapatna Taluk, he was not able to

dispose of the appeal petition himself.

---

order thereon:— No: 11659 & 9953 & 15-1-02

A Police man absenting himself without leave is guilty of a very serious violation of duty, for which he is liable to be prosecuted. Such absence is admitted by the Petitioner. Govt therefore decline to interfere.

Dewan's order)

10.1.02

for Secretary.

To. The Inspector Genl of Police in Mysore returning enclosures.